

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vigninia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 06/03/2003

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
09/780,423	02/12/2001	Atsuomi Inukai	108573	108573 8148		
25944 7	590 06/03/2003					
OLIFF & BERRIDGE, PLC			EXAMINER			
P.O. BOX 1992 ALEXANDRIA	<del></del>		PIZIALI, JE	PIZIALI, JEFFREY J		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			2673	6		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.		Applicant(s)	•			
Office Action Summary		09/780,423	1	INUKAI, ATSUOMI				
		Examiner		Art Unit				
		Jeff Piziali		2673				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
A SHO THE N - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failur - Any n	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLIMALING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Issions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repliment of reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute epply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing of patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, howe y within the statutory min will apply and will expire s e, cause the application to	over, may a reply be time imum of thirty (30) days SIX (6) MONTHS from to become ABANDONED	ely filed  will be considered timely the mailing date of this co (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1)[🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 121	<u>March 2003</u> .						
2a)⊠		nis action is non-fi	nal.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims								
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application	<b>1</b> .						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	wn from consider	ation.					
5) 🗌	Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are rejected.							
7) 🗆	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
1	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/c on Papers	or election require	ment.					
9) 🗆 -	The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>12 February 2001</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)☐ approved b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.								
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.								
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.								
Priority u	inder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).								
a)[	☑ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:							
	1. Certified copies of the priority document	ts have been rece	ived.					
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
l	cknowledgment is made of a claim for domest	•			application).			
	) ☐ The translation of the foreign language pro Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest	• •						
Attachment	r(s)							
2) Notic 3) Inforr	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	4)		(PTO-413) Paper No( atent Application (PTC				
U.S. Patent and To PTO-326 (Re		ction Summary		Part of	Paper No. 6			

Art Unit: 2673

#### DETAILED ACTION

#### **Priority**

1. Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), which papers have been placed of record in the file.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claims 1-4 and 7-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Cali et al. (US 5,489,900).

Regarding claim 1, Cali discloses a pointing device including: a sensor substrate [Fig. 1; 110] having a flat board form [Fig. 1; 112]; a stick member [Fig. 3; 130] vertically provided on an upper surface of the sensor substrate; at least a pair of strain sensors [Fig. 1; 102-108] arranged in symmetrical relation to each other with respect to the stick member; and a slit [Fig. 1; 128] formed on the sensor substrate near the strain sensor, the slit remaining on the sensor substrate and inducing an increase in an amount of deformation generated in the sensor substrate during operation of the stick member (see Column 2, Line 20 - Column 3, Line 13).

Art Unit: 2673

Regarding claim 2, Cali discloses the sensor substrate is made of a flexible insulative material (see Column 2, Lines 20-24).

Regarding claim 3, Cali discloses the strain sensor is made of a resistive material which changes its resistance value with stress applied to the strain sensor (see Fig. 6; Column 3, Lines 24-50).

Regarding claim 4, Cali discloses the resistance material is formed adhering onto the insulative material by a layer forming technique (see Column 2, Line 20 - Column 3, Line 13).

Regarding claim 7, Cali discloses another pair of strain sensors [Fig. 1; 128] arranged on the sensor substrate in a direction perpendicular to a line connecting the first pair of strain sensors while passing through a center of the stick member, wherein the strain sensors are arranged at 90 degree angular intervals around the stick member (see Column 2, Line 20 - Column 3, Line 13).

Regarding claim 8, Cali discloses two parallel slit portions are provided at both sides of each of the strain sensors, and the slit portions formed between the strain sensors adjacently arranged are connected to form the slit in an L-shape (see Fig. 1; Column 2, Line 20 - Column 3, Line 13).

Art Unit: 2673

Regarding claim 9, Cali discloses four L-shaped slits are formed at 90 degree angular intervals around the stick member, and the four L-shaped slits jointly form a cross-shaped intersecting area (see Fig. 1; Column 2, Line 20 - Column 3, Line 13).

Regarding claim 10, Cali discloses chip resistances [Fig. 1; 116-126] capable of being trimmed, connected in series with the strain sensors correspondingly and arranged out of the intersecting area on the sensor substrate (see Fig. 2; Column 2, Lines 20-62).

Regarding claim 11, Cali discloses a strain detecting substrate section [Fig. 1; 112] on which the stick member and the strain sensors are disposed, this section being used for detecting an amount of strain of the sensor substrate by means of the strain sensors, the strain being caused by operation of the stick member; and a signal processing substrate section [Fig. 2; 142] for signal processing [Fig. 6; 160, 162] the strain amount of the sensor substrate detected by the strain detecting substrate section and the signal processing substrate section are connected through a connecting substrate section [Fig. 2; 140] which is narrower in width than the sensor substrate (see Column 2, Line 48 - Column 3, Line 50).

Regarding claim 12, Cali discloses the connecting substrate section is produced by formation of cut-out portions from both sides of the sensor substrate in its width direction toward a center thereof (see Fig. 2; Column 2, Line 48 - Column 3, Line 50).

Art Unit: 2673

Regarding claim 13, Cali discloses an engagement member portion protruding from a lower end of the stick member; an attachment hole [Fig. 2; 146] formed in the sensor substrate, in which the engagement portion is inserted; and a fixing member [Fig. 2; 144] for fixing the engagement portion of the stick member inserted in the attachment hole, the fixing member being attached from a back surface of the sensor substrate; wherein the stick member is vertically provided on the sensor substrate in an engagement relation thereto (see Figs. 2 & 3; Column 2, Line 48 - Column 3, Line 23).

Regarding claim 14, this claim is rejected by the reasoning applied in the above rejection of claim 1, furthermore Cali discloses a keyboard provided with a plurality of keys arranged on a keyboard substrate and a pointing device mounted on a part of an operating face of the keyboard (see Fig. 2; Column 2, Lines 48-62).

Regarding claim 15, this claim is rejected by the reasoning applied in the above rejection of claims 1 and 14, furthermore Cali discloses an electronic device provided with a keyboard; a controller for controlling various data input with the keys on the keyboard; and a display for displaying the data under control by the controller (see Fig. 6; Column 1, Lines 5-41 and Column 3, Lines 24-50).

Art Unit: 2673

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 5 and 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Cali et al. (US 5,489,900).

Regarding claim 5, Cali does not expressly disclose the layer forming technique is selected from among a vacuum deposition method, a sputter method, and a vapor phase deposition method. However, such layer forming techniques were well known and commonly understood at the time of invention. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time of invention to use a vacuum deposition method, a sputter method, or a vapor phase deposition method as Cali's layer forming technique, so as to form the resistance material adhering to the insulative material using traditionally appropriate and operationally successful layering methods.

Regarding claim 6, Cali does not expressly disclose the resistance material is mainly composed of carbon. However, resistance materials made mainly of carbon were well known and commonly understood at the time of invention. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time of invention to use mainly carbon as Cali's resistance material, so as to compose the resistance material using a operationally appropriate and commonly available material.

Art Unit: 2673

6. Claims 16-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Cali et al. (US 5,489,900) in view of the present application's own admission of prior art.

Regarding claim 16, Cali does not expressly disclose each of the strain sensors is formed with a plurality of windows in which the resistance material is absent, the windows being arranged in aligned relation to a line connecting the pair of strain sensors while passing through a center of the stick member, and also each of the strain sensors is formed with a notch which is made by a trimming process of irradiating a laser beam to the strain sensor along the alignment direction of the windows. However, the present application discloses, as prior art, forming strain sensors [Fig. 14; 153] with a plurality of windows in which the resistance material is absent, the windows being arranged in aligned relation to a line connecting the pair of strain sensors while passing through a center of the stick member, and also each of the strain sensors is formed with a notch [Fig. 14, 153a] which is made by a trimming process of irradiating a laser beam to the strain sensor along the alignment direction of the windows (see Figs. 14 & 15; Page 2, Line 21 -Page 3, Line 27). Cali and the present application's prior art disclosure are analogous art, because they are from the shared field of strain sensing pointing devices. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time of invention to use such a strain sensor formation as Cali's strain sensor circuitry, so as to prevent the inconsistency in an offset voltage outputted due to the sensors.

Regarding claim 17, this claim is rejected by the reasoning applied in the above rejection of claim 16; furthermore, the present application discloses, as prior art, the trimming process

Art Unit: 2673

making the notch so that an endpoint of the notch is received within the window (see Figs. 14 & 15; Page 2, Line 21 - Page 3, Line 27).

Regarding claim 18, Cali discloses the resistance material is formed adhering onto the insulative material by a thick layer printing technique (see Fig. 1; Column 2, Lines 1-2).

Regarding claim 19, Cali does not expressly disclose that the resistance material is a ruthenium material. However, resistance materials made from ruthenium materials were well known and commonly understood at the time of invention. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time of invention to use ruthenium material as Cali's resistance material, so as to compose the resistance material using a operationally appropriate and commonly available material.

Regarding claim 20, Cali does not expressly disclose the ruthenium material is ruthenium dioxide. However, resistance materials made ruthenium dioxide were well known and commonly understood at the time of invention. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time of invention to use ruthenium dioxide as Cali's resistance material, so as to compose the resistance material using a operationally appropriate and commonly available material.

Art Unit: 2673

### Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed March 12, 2003 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. The Applicant contends Cali's x-shaped pattern [Fig. 1, 128], constituting a slit, is not present in the final formed configuration; Cali's pattern fails to induce an increase in an amount of deformation generated in a sensor substrate during operation of a column/stick member; and Cali's column [Figs. 2 & 3, 130] is not vertically provided on an upper surface of the sensor substrate. However, the examiner respectfully disagrees. Cali explicitly discloses a slit [Figs. 1-3, 128 -- i.e. an opening] remaining on a sensor substrate [Figs. 1-3; 110]; this same slit induces an increase in an amount of deformation generated in the sensor substrate during operation of a stick member [Figs. 2 & 3, 130 -- wherein the slit brings about sensing bending strain in the stick member]; as well as the column/stick member [Fig. 3; 130] being vertically provided on an upper surface of the sensor substrate (see Figs. 1-3; Column 2, Line 20 - Column 3, Line 13). By such reasoning, rejection of the claims is deemed proper and thereby maintained.

#### Conclusion

8. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period

Art Unit: 2673

will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jeff Piziali whose telephone number is (703) 305-8382. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday (6:30AM - 3PM).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Bipin Shalwala can be reached on (703) 305-4938. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9314 for regular communications and (703) 872-9314 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-4700.

May 29, 2003